

AND NOW, THIS 10th DAY OF
APRIL, IT IS HEREBY

ORDERED THAT THE MOTION
MOTION IS DENIED.

GARY L. LANCASTER,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MOTION TO ReCONSIDER ORDER - 08-cv-00049

In Judge GARY LANCASTER ORDER, He

RULE THAT THE WITHIN CASE, WAS

FRIUOLOUS, AND THE CASE FAILS TO STATE

A CLAIM ON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED.

AND THAT THE ACTION SEEKS MONETARY RELIEF

AGAINST A DEFENDANT, WHO IS IMMUNE FROM

SUCH RELIEF, PLAINTIFF ARGUMENT, IS

1. ALL DEFENDANTS THAT HAS BEEN FILED IN
THIS WITHIN CASE CAN NOT BE SHIELDED ON
IMMUNE, AND THEY SHOULD REMAIN AS DEFENDANTS
IN THIS CASE,
2. THIS CASE IS IN KNOW WAY FRIVOLOUS,
BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS THAT
IS INVOLVED, THREE DEFENDANTS DID CONSPIRE
AND EXISTENCE OF A MASSIVE CONSPIRACY OF
CORRUPTION AGAINST THE 3RD EAST HILLS DEVELOPMENT.
THE DEFENDANT DID WORK IN CONNECTION TO MONOPOLIZE
THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY AND ENFORCE AN IMMEDIATE
FORCLOSURE ON THE SHAREHOLDER, THREE DEFENDANT DID
DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF AND RESIDENT
THE EVIDENCE SPEAKS FOR ITS SELF EXHIBIT(E)
THE PLAN TO TAKE OVER THE COMMUNITY.

3. ~~How~~ can Police Officer and Judge's can
Be shielded on The Absolut ground of
Judicial Immunity, But The Chief President
of United State's can't This is diffently.
A Question That need To be Brought Before
The Supreme Court's, I ASK This Honorable
Court To Review The Evidence That HAS been
brought before This Court, To Reconize we
Have law Enforcement Agency, Elected official.
That Have Discriminated, and Conspired an Appartee-
ship To Committ A Genizie Against the People,
In my Appendix. Our City Council Woman.
TWANDA CAPLIZE, HAS NOT Done Nothing
Compared To what Thee PARTNER have Done.
Please Review Exhibit (E) Theree plan and
all The PARTNER'S, I ASK This Honorable Court
To Please Review and look over Exhibit (E)
To Reconsider Thee ~~Defendant~~ To Answer for what they Have
Done, Out Regias. Respectfully Submitted

Kenny Davis

11-7-08.